



### Isotropic Microwave Emission from Extensive Air Showers

#### **Christopher Williams**

MACROS, Institut d'Astrophysique de Paris

November 29, 2013

**UHECR Future Requirements** 

## Origin of Cosmic Rays? Composition of Cosmic Rays?

Larger Event Sample!

but a larger sample of events must also be high quality data



#### Standard Hybrid Detector



Electrons and muons reach ground detectors

#### **Radio Detectors**



#### Molecular Bremsstrahlung Emission

- •EAS particles dissipate energy through ionization
- Produces plasma with  $T_e \sim 10^4 10^5 K$

•Low energy tail of free electrons produce Bremsstrahlung emission in microwave regime from scattering interactions with neutral air molecules

Trace number of shower particles as in FD

Emission is unpolarized and isotropic

Potential exists for an FD-like detection technique capable of measuring the shower's <u>longitudinal development</u> with <u>nearly 100% duty cycle</u>, limited atmospheric effects and <u>low cost (ability to cover large area)</u>

#### **Previous Beam Measurements**



P.W. Gorham *et al.,* "Observations of microwave continuum emission from air shower plasmas", Phys. Rev .D. **78**, 032007 (2008)

#### **Previous Beam Measurements**



P.W. Gorham *et al.,* "Observations of microwave continuum emission from air shower plasmas", Phys. Rev .D. **78**, 032007 (2008)

#### **Previous Beam Measurements**

Plasma properties (density) determine level of signal coherence

Fully coherent plasma:  $P_{tot}=(N_e)^2 \times P_1$ Incoherent plasma:  $P_{tot}=N_e \times P_1$ 





SLAC beam test measured coherent emission

G-H fits suggest the plasma scaling in the beam may not match EAS scaling

P.W. Gorham *et al.,* "Observations of microwave continuum emission from air shower plasmas", Phys. Rev .D. **78**, 032007 (2008)

#### MIcrowave Detection of Air Showers Design



P.W Gorham *et al.,* "Observations of microwave continuum emission from air shower plasmas", Phys. Rev .D. **78**, 032007 (2008)

Large collection area	~ 10 m <sup>2</sup>	Use 4.5m dish already installed at U of C
Pixel field of view	~1.5° ~ λ/D	Extended C-Band
Total field of view	~15°	~50 channels
Time domain	100 ns resolution	Fast power detector
Trigger for fast transient events		Flash ADC acquisition with FPGA trigger

J. Alvarez-Muñiz,<sup>1</sup> A. Berlin,<sup>2</sup> M. Bogdan,<sup>2</sup> M. Boháčová,<sup>2,3</sup> C. Bonifazi,<sup>4</sup> W. R. Carvalho, Jr.,<sup>1</sup> J. R. T. de Mello Neto,<sup>4</sup> P. Facal San Luis,<sup>2</sup> J. F. Genat,<sup>2</sup> N. Hollon,<sup>2</sup> E. Mills,<sup>2</sup> M. Monasor,<sup>2</sup> P. Privitera,<sup>2</sup> L. C. Reyes,<sup>2,5</sup> B. Rouille d'Orfeuil,<sup>2</sup> E. M. Santos,<sup>4</sup> S. Wayne,<sup>2</sup> C. Williams,<sup>2,\*</sup> E. Zas,<sup>1</sup> and J. Zhou<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Universidad de Santiago de Compostela, Departamento de Física de Partículas, Campus Sur, Universidad de Santiago, E-15782 Santiago de Compostela, Spain
<sup>2</sup>Kavli Institute for Cosmological Physics, University of Chicago, 5640 South Ellis Avenue, Chicago, Illinois 60637, USA
<sup>3</sup>Institute of Physics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, 182 21 Prague 8, Czech Republic
<sup>4</sup>Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Instituto de Física Cidade Universitria, Caixa Postal 68528, 21941-970, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
<sup>5</sup>Department of Physics, California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo, California 93401, USA



#### **MIDAS Detector**

•4.5 m prime focus parabolic reflector

•On the roof of U of C Physics Building

Designed for receivers in Ku-band (18 GHz)

 Fully steerable astronomic mount



#### NIM A719, 70 (2013)

#### **MIDAS** Camera

- 53 Commercial Extended C-Band Feeds (Very Cheap!)
- Feed Horn + LNA + Down Converter (3.4-4.2 GHz)
- Measured 17K noise floor
   60 dB amplification
- 20° x 10° FOV



#### **MIDAS** Analog Board





 Most components on analog side are commercially sourced

–Custom band-pass filter to deal with aviation interference in Chicago



# $\begin{aligned} \text{MIDAS Digitizer Board} \\ n_{adc} = n_0 - k P_{dB} = n_0 - k \log(P_{lin}) \end{aligned}$

**Board 1 Board 0** 3 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 6 7 25 26 27 11 24 9 10 12 13 14 15 28 29 30 32 33 34 35 48 49 50 51 36 37 38 39 52 53 54 40 41 42 43 56 57 58 59 **Board 2** 

→Instrument composed of 5 VME Modules

- →4 modules for camera pixel digitization and FLT
- Imodule for master trigger
- →Hold digitized trace in 100 µs circular buffer



#### **MIDAS Trigger**

#### First Level Trigger:

-1µs running sum performed after 20 MHz ADC in FPGA

- -Over threshold trigger
- -Each feed has self-regulated threshold to hold rate at 100Hz





Second Level Trigger:

### **MIDAS Trigger**

-Require 4 FLTs within 10 µs match 1 of 767 specified pixel patterns

-Pattern topology matches track-like patterns expected for EAS

-When SLT found, master trigger board freezes trace buffers and writes 100 µs of time stream data for all channels



High-Level Veto: Inhibits trigger when SLT exceeds preset value. Filters periods of noise bursts improving livetime.

Band-pass filter also helps with this noise





Noise bursts

#### **MIDAS** Absolute Calibration

Astrophysical sources provide a calibration of system temperature



$$F_{sys} \simeq 1.5 \times 10^{-22} \ W/m^2/Hz \longrightarrow$$

$$F_{sys} = \frac{2k_B T_{sys}}{A_{eff}}$$

also have observed moon (sun/100) and crab nebula (sun/1000)

#### **MIDAS** Absolute Calibration

Astrophysical sources provide a calibration of system temperature



#### **Full Camera Calibration**



#### **MIDAS Data Collection**

- Collected data over approximately 5 months, stopping occasionally for calibration or other maintenance
- Large swings in trigger rates over this period, source unidentified
- For science sample we use 6 hour periods with <15,000 SLTs</p>
- •61 days of livetime after accounting for data set selection and dead time associated with writing events



#### **Event Search Program**

TABLE I. Table of cuts used in search program and their effect on selected data sample

Cut		Events Remaining After Cut
(1)	Less than three FLT pixels outside the SLT time window	625 012
(2)	All SLT patterns are time-ordered down-going	4112
(3)	SLT pattern crossing time greater than 400 ns	1432
(4)	Traces in triggered SLT patterns contain only 1 pulse $>5\sigma$	979
(5)	Pulses $>5\sigma$ have a shape consistent with power detector's time constant	924
(6)	FLT pixels matching a 5-pixel pattern topology with down-going time order	21
(7)	Visual inspection of candidate events	0

 5-Pixel search program to find events well above any thermal noise background, 4-Pixel rate well above thermal expectations

- Cuts designed to eliminate anthropogenic noise
- Select expectations for EAS events
- Pseudo-blind analysis not trained on Monte Carlo data
- Null Result used to set emission limit

#### **Microwave Emission Limits**

Emission Parameterized in power flux and scaling

$$I_f = I_{f,ref} \cdot (\rho/\rho_0) \cdot (d/R)^2 \cdot (N/N_{ref})^{\alpha}$$

- For parameter space exploration use simulation to make spectrum between log E= 17.65 and log E= 20.05
- I<sub>f,ref</sub> runs between 2.31×10<sup>-16</sup>
  W/m<sup>2</sup>/Hz and 4.61×10<sup>-15</sup> W/m<sup>2</sup>/Hz
- $\alpha$  between 1 and 2
- Detection spectrum produced by weighted observed events with Auger spectrum

#### **Detection Spectrum**



#### Microwave Emission Limits 95% confidence exclusion with 5-pixel search and 61 days of livetime data from University of Chicago campus



#### Microwave Emission Limits 95% confidence exclusion with 5-pixel search and 61 days of livetime data from University of Chicago campus



#### Microwave Emission Limits 95% confidence exclusion with 5-pixel search and 61 days of livetime data from University of Chicago campus



#### **MIDAS** at Auger



Sun calibration pending



#### **SD Event Matching**



#### **SD** Event Matching



#### SD Event Matching

95% confidence exclusion with SD event matching and 66 days of livetime data from Auger



#### MAYBE Test Set-up

- 1 m<sup>3</sup> RF anechoic chamber, Absorber atten. >30 dB above 1 GHz
- Instrumented with three feed horns
- Main Receiver 850 MHz to 26.5 GHz R&S Log Periodic Antenna
  - Both Pols accessible through physical rotation of antenna
- 3 Miteq low noise amplifiers and low loss coax cable
  - Amplifiers operate well outside stated frequency range





#### Accelerator

- 3 MeV Van de Graaff at Argonne National Lab, Chemistry Division
- <u>Electrons below</u>
   <u>Cherenkov threshold</u>
- Pulse length 5 ns to 1 ms
- 1 µs pulse for most data taking





- Scan in beam intensity, changing instantaneous electron number
- Average flux from 1000s of traces
- Noise contamination in 1-2 GHz traces creating systematic shift
- Measurement consistent with linear scaling

#### Spectrum



- Emission is unpolarized with a flat spectrum from 1 to 15
   GHz
- Consistent with expectations for molecular
   Bremsstrahlung emission

Simulation The energy deposit in the chamber is proportional to the number of ionization e<sup>-</sup> in the plasma. Simulations for 3×10<sup>9</sup> 3 MeV electrons (number of e<sup>-</sup> in 3ns for a typical pulse):



The RMS of the energy deposit cone goes from a few mm to about 15 cm.Total E deposit in the chamber typically: 10<sup>14</sup>-10<sup>15</sup> eV (equivalent to the energy deposit at Xmax by a p shower of 10<sup>18</sup>-10<sup>19</sup> eV). Edep density: 10<sup>7</sup>- $10^8 \text{ e}/\text{cm}^3$  (assuming all the energy deposit is invested in ionization)

Frequency Domain EM Simulation of electron beam produces a RF signal of similar strength and flat spectrum in the MAYBE frequency range.

#### Simulation



- The RMS of the energy deposit cone goes from a few mm to about 15 cm. Total E deposit in the chamber typically: 10<sup>14</sup>-10<sup>15</sup> eV (equivalent to the energy deposit at Xmax by a p shower of 10<sup>18</sup>-10<sup>19</sup> eV). Edep density: 10<sup>7</sup>-10<sup>8</sup> e<sup>-</sup>/cm<sup>3</sup> (assuming all the energy deposit is invested in ionization)
- Frequency Domain EM Simulation of electron beam produces a RF signal of similar strength and flat spectrum in the MAYBE frequency range.

#### Beam to EAS Scaling

#### For an air shower of 3×10<sup>17</sup> eV, assuming linear scaling, emission at maximum:

$$I_{f,ref}^{\text{MAYBE}} \le 10^{-20} \text{ W/m}^2/\text{Hz}$$

This value much lower than previous measurements  $(1.85 \times 10^{-15} \text{ W/m}^2/\text{Hz})$ 

Possible Cherenkov contamination in Gorham et al.?

- →Caveats:
- Plasma created by beam not identical to air showers in electron spectrum
- →Size scale of energy deposit in shower larger than test beam conditions

Measurement by detectors operating in coincidence with existing UHECR experiments only way to solve this puzzle 36

#### Conclusions

- Using the results of MIDAS and MAYBE we have set the strongest limits to date on the isotropic microwave emission from EAS
- Building microwave replacements for UHECR detectors remains very challenging
- Microwave designs continue to be pursued to detect EAS forward emission.

•Future...Go Bigger! Get Composition!

